arXiv:1411.3121v3 [math.CV] 16 May 2016

POSITIVITY OF METRICS ON CONIC NEIGHBOURHOODS OF 1-CONVEX SUBMANIFOLDS

JASNA PREZELJ

Abstract. Let $\pi : Z \to X$ be a holomorphic submersion from a complex manifold Z onto a 1-convex manifold X with exceptional set S and $a : X \to Z$ a holomorphic section. Let $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$ be a plurisubharmonic exhaustion function which is strictly plurisubharmonic on $X \setminus S$ with $\varphi^{-1}(0) = S$. For every holomorphic vector bundle $E \to Z$ there exists a neighbourhood V of $a(U \setminus S)$ for $U = \varphi^{-1}([0, c))$, conic along $a(S)$, such that $E|_V$ can be endowed with Nakano strictly positive Hermitian metric.

Let $g : X \to \mathbb{C}$, $g^{-1}(0) \supset S$ be a given holomorphic function. There exist finitely many bounded holomorphic vector fields defined on a Stein neighbourhood V of $a(\overline{U} \setminus g^{-1}(0))$, conic along $a(g^{-1}(0))$ with zeroes of arbitrary high order on $a(g^{-1}(0))$ and such that they generate $\ker D\pi|_{a(\overline{U}\setminus g^{-1}(0))}$. Moreover, there exists a smaller neighbourhood $V' \subset V$ such that their flows remain in $\tilde{V}$ for sufficiently small times thus generating a local dominating spray.

1. Introduction and main theorems

The main results of the present paper are theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 1.1 (Nakano positive metric)**.** *Let Z be an n-dimensional complex manifold, X a 1-convex manifold, $S \subset X$ its exceptional set, $\pi : Z \to X$ a holomorphic submersion, $\sigma : E \to Z$ a holomorphic vector bundle and $a : X \to Z$ a holomorphic section. Let $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$ be a plurisubharmonic exhaustion function which is strictly plurisubharmonic on $X \setminus S$ and $\varphi^{-1}(0) = S$. Let $U = \varphi^{-1}([0, c))$ for some $c > 0$ be a given holomorphically convex set. There exist a neighbourhood V_T of $a(\overline{U})$ in Z and a Hermitian metric h defined on $E_{V_T \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)}$, such that*

(a) h has polynomial poles on $\pi^{-1}(S)$,
(b) there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subset V_T$ of $a(U \setminus S)$ conic along $a(S)$ such that h is a Nakano positive Hermitian metric on $E|_V$,
(c) the curvature tensor $i\Theta(E)|_V$ has polynomial poles on $a(S)$ and is smooth up to the boundary elsewhere.

Theorem 1.2 (Vertical sprays on conic neighbourhoods)**.** *With the same notation as above, let $g : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function with the zero set $N(g) := g^{-1}(0) \supset S$ and let $U = \varphi^{-1}[0, c)$, $K \subset U, K \cap N(g) = \emptyset$. There exist a Stein neighbourhood $V \subset Z$ of $a(U \setminus N(g))$ conic along $a(N(g))$ and finitely many bounded holomorphic vector fields v_i generating*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32E05, 32E10, 32C15, 32C35, 32L10, 32W05.

Key words and phrases. 1-convex set, spray, Nakano positive metric, Kähler form, curvature tensor.

The author was supported by research program P1-0291 and by research project J1-5432 at Slovenian Research Agency. The part of this paper was written while the author was visiting the NTNU, Trondheim, Norway.

$VT(Z) = \ker D\pi$ over a neighbourhood of $a(K)$ with zeroes on $a(N(g))$ of arbitrarily high order. Consequently there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the flows of v_i-s starting in a smaller conic neighbourhood $V' \subset V$ remain in V for times $|t| < \varepsilon$ thus generating a local spray.

The motivation for the present work was the paper [3] about the h-principle on 1-convex spaces. Recall that a complex space X is 1-convex if it possesses a plurisubharmonic exhaustion function which is strictly plurisubharmonic outside a compact set. There exists a maximal nowhere discrete compact analytic subset S of X called the exceptional set.

In the proof we need a way of linearizing small perturbations of a given continuous section $a : X \to Z$, holomorphic on a given holomorphically convex open set U, which are kept fixed on the exceptional set S and are holomorphic on U. This is usually done by using holomorphic sprays, i.e. maps $s : U \times B^n(0, \varepsilon) \to Z$, generated by holomorphic vector fields which span the vertical bundle $VT(Z) = \ker D\pi$ on a neighbourhood $V \subset Z$ of $a(U)$ and are zero on $a(S)$. In the 1-convex case such vector fields do not necessarily exist on the whole neighbourhood of $a(U)$ if U intersects S. In our application the condition on spanning $VT(Z)$ is needed on neighbourhood of the set $a(K)$, $K \subset U$, where K is a holomorphically convex compact set not intersecting S; thus we can work with vector fields with zeroes (of high order) on $a(S)$ spanning $VT(Z)|_{a(K)}$ for K satisfying $K \cap S = \emptyset$ and it suffices if they are defined over a conic neighbourhood of $a(U \setminus S)$. If they have zeroes of high enough order (with respect to the sharpness of the cone) their flows remain in the conic neighbourhood and thus generate the spray which dominates on a neighbourhood of $a(K)$. These vector fields are obtained as extensions of vector fields defined on $a(X)$ which are zero on a larger set, namely on the set $N(g) = g^{-1}(0)$, where $g : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function extended fibrewise constantly on Z and such that $g(\pi^{-1}(S)) = 0$ and $N(g) \cap K = \emptyset$. Such extensions exist but it needs



FIGURE 1. Conic neighbourhoods of $a(U \setminus N(g))$ in the submersion $Z \to X$

to be shown why they can be chosen to go to zero when approaching $a(N(g))$. This can be achieved by solving a suitable $\overline{\partial}$-equation with values in $VT(Z)$ and that is where we need the existence of Nakano positive metric. If X were Stein the set $a(U)$ would have a basis of Stein neighbourhoods in Z and a Nakano positive metric on $E|_V$ would be given by $h_E e^{-\psi}$ for some strictly plurisubharmonic function ψ. If X is 1-convex then the set $a(U)$ does not necessarily have a basis of 1-convex neighbourhoods and on its neighbourhoods there are no strictly plurisubharmonic functions, since their Levi forms degenerate on exceptional sets. The construction of the metric and the sprays is explained in the sequel.

Notation. The notation from the main theorems is fixed throughout the paper. Let ω_Z be a Hermitian $(1,1)$-form defined on the manifold Z and h_Z the corresponding Hermitian metric. Let $\sigma : E \to Z$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r equipped with some Hermitian metric h_E. The sets of the form $\pi^{-1}(U)$ are denoted by Z_U. The local coordinate system in a neighbourhood $V_{z_0} \subset Z$ of a point $z_0 \in a(U)$ is (z,w), where z denotes the horizontal and w the vertical (or fibre) direction and $z_0 = (0,0)$. More precisely, every point in $a(U)$ has $w = 0$ and points in the same fibre have the same first coordinate. If the point z_0 is in $a(S)$ we write the z-coordinate as $z = (z_1, z_2)$, where $a(S) \cap V_{z_0} = \{z_2 = 0, w = 0\} \cap V_{z_0}$. The dimension of the fibres Z_{z_0} is constant, $r_0 = \dim Z_{z_0} = \dim VT(Z)$. The function φ is extended to Z fibrewise and we keep the same notation throughout the paper. Its Levi form degenerates at most polynomially with respect to the distance from Z_S. With the notation above this means that the smallest eigenvalue of the Levi form does not go to zero faster than $\|z\|^{2k_0}$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we construct almost holomorphic global functions and the Kähler metric, section 3 is devoted to the proof of the first main theorem (theorem 1.1), in section 4 we solve $\overline{\partial}$-equation for (n,q) and $(0,q)$-forms and in section 5 we prove the second main theorem (theorem 1.2).

2. Almost holomorphic global sections, plurisubharmonic functions and the Kähler metric

In this section we construct a Kähler metric on a conic neighbourhood of $a(U)$ using almost holomorphic global sections.

Proposition 2.1 (Almost holomorphic global sections)**.** *Let $\sigma : E \to Z$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. For every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exist a $k_l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_l$ there are finitely many smooth sections f_i of E, holomorphic in the vertical directions, such that they span E on some open neighbourhood V_T of $a(\overline{U})$ in Z except on Z_S. Let $V_{z_0} \subset Z$ be a neighbourhood of a point $z_0 \in a(U)$ such that $E|_{V_{z_0}}$ is trivial. Write $f_i = \sum f_i^\lambda e_\lambda$ with respect to some local frame $e_1, \ldots, e_r$. If $z_0 \in a(S)$ there exists $C_i > 0$ such that for points $(z,w) \in V_{z_0}$ for sufficiently small V_{z_0} we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_i(z,w)\| &\leq C_1\|z_2\|^k,\\
\|\overline{\partial} f_i(z,w)\| &\leq C_2\|w\|^{l+1}\|z_2\|^k,\\
\|\partial f_i(z,w)\| &\leq C_3\|z_2\|^{k-1},\\
\|\partial\overline{\partial} f_i\| &\leq C_4\|w\|^l\|z_2\|^{k-1}(\|w\| + \|z_2\|),\\
\sum \|f_i^\lambda(z,w)\|^2 &\geq C_5\|z_2\|^{2k},\ \lambda = 1, \ldots, r.
\end{aligned}$$

If $z_0 \in a(U \setminus S)$ and V_{z_0} is sufficiently small we can replace z_2 by 1 and obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\overline{\partial} f_i(z,w)\| &\leq D_2\|w\|^{l+1},\\
\|\partial f_i(z,w)\| &\leq D_3,\\
\|\partial\overline{\partial} f_i\| &\leq D_4\|w\|^l,\\
\sum \|f_i^\lambda(z,w)\|^2 &\geq D_5, \lambda = 1, \ldots, r,
\end{aligned}$$

for some $D_i > 0$.

Remark 2.2. *Note that given* l *the number* k *can be chosen to be arbitrarily large.*

Before proceeding to the proof let us state a lemma on sections of quotient sheaves.

Lemma 2.3. *Let and* $\mathcal{E}$ *be a coherent sheaf of sections of a holomorphic vector bundle* $E \to Z$ *and denote by* $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{J}(a(X))$ *the ideal in* $\mathcal{O}_Z$ *generated by the analytic set* $a(X)$. *Define* $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{J}(a(S))^k(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{Q}^{l+1})$ *and let* $F \in \Gamma(a(X), \mathcal{S})$ *be a holomorphic section. Then for every point* $z_0 \in a(S)$ *there exist a local lift of* $F_{z_0 *}$ *to a holomorphic section*

$$F_{z_0}(z,w) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k, |\beta|\leq l, \lambda=1,\ldots,r} g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}(z) z_2^\alpha w^\beta e_\lambda \in \Gamma(V_{z_0}, E)$$

in some local frame $\{e_\lambda\}$ *and for* $z_0 \in a(X \setminus S)$ *there exist a local lift of the form* $F_{z_0}(z,w) = \sum g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}(z) w^\beta e_\lambda \in \Gamma(V_{z_0}, E)$.

Proof. The sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite dimensional vector bundle with coefficients in $\mathcal{J}(a(S))^k$ and it is supported on $a(X)$. Its sections represent Taylor series of vector fields in the w-variable up to order l with coefficients in $\mathcal{J}(a(S))^k$. Since the statement is local we assume that E is trivial and therefore it suffices to prove the result for functions.

Let's assume that $z_0 = ((z_1, 0), 0) \in a(S)$. In the given local coordinates near z_0 the generators of the $\mathcal{O}_Z/\mathcal{Q}^{l+1}$ are the germs w_*^β (β is a multiindex with $|\beta| \leq l$). Similarly, the generators of $\mathcal{J}(Z_S)^k$ are given by coordinate functions z_2 and denoted by $z_{2\,*}^\alpha$ ($|\alpha| = k$). Their restrictions to $a(X)$ are the generators of $\mathcal{J}(a(S))^k$. Any element $G_{z_0 *}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{z_0}$ is a finite sum of the form $G_{z_0 *} = \sum z_{2\,*}^\alpha (\sum g_{\alpha\beta *} w_*^\beta)$, $g_{\alpha\beta *} \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Let $g_{\alpha\beta}$ be the local lifts of $g_{\alpha\beta *}$ to a neighbourhood of z_0 in $a(X)$ and fibrewise extended to Z. Then $G_{z_0}(z,w) = \sum g_{\alpha\beta}(z) z_2^\alpha w^\beta$ is the desired lift defined on some neighbourhood V_{z_0} of z_0. For points $z \in a(X \setminus S)$ we replace the generators z_2^α by 1. □

Proof of proposition 2.1. By theorem A for relatively compact 1-convex sets there exists $k_l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_l$ there are finitely many sections $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{J}(a(S))^k(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{Q}^{l+1})$ generating it on a neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$ in $a(X)$.

Let F be one of these sections and $z_0 \in a(S)$. Choose a small product neighbourhood V_{z_0} of z_0 in Z with respect to the submersion $\pi : Z \to X$ of the form $V_{z_0} = U_{z_0} \times B^{r_0}(0, \varepsilon)$ in some local coordinates with $\pi \simeq \mathrm{pr}_1$, the projection to the first coordinate. By assumption E is trivial on V_{z_0} and the trivialization is given by the frame $e_1, \ldots, e_r$. Near z_0 the section F has a local lift F_{z_0} defined on V_{z_0} of the form $F_{z_0}(z,w) = \sum g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}(z) z_2^\alpha w^\beta e_\lambda$ with coefficients as in lemma 2.3. Any other such lift for another choice of local generators w coincides with this one up to order l in w. If z_0 is not in $a(S)$ then we assume that the closure of the neighbourhood V_{z_0} does not intersect Z_S. Each F_i thus defines an open covering of $a(\overline{U})$ in Z and the latter has a locally finite subcovering.

In the sequel we are examining the Taylor series of sections. They differ depending on the point z_0 under consideration. We focus on the case $z_0 \in a(S)$ and work in the usual coordinates $((z_1, z_2), w)$. In the case $(z, 0) \in a(U \setminus S)$ we replace the generators z_2^α of the ideal $\mathcal{J}(Z_S)^k$ in the estimates by the generator 1.

There exists a locally finite product covering $\{V_j \cong U_j \times B^{r_0}\}$ of $a(\overline{U})$ in Z by product neighbourhoods with respect to the submersion $Z \to X$ finer than any of the above subcoverings. Let $\{\chi_j\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the product covering which

only depends on the base direction z. Summing up the local lifts F_{ij} of F_i on V_j using this partition of unity we obtain sections $f_i(z,w) = \sum F_{ij}(z,w)\chi_j(z)$ on an open neighbourhood U_Z of $a(\overline{U})$ in Z which are holomorphic in the vertical direction and their nonholomorphicity is of the order $\|z_2\|^k\|w\|^{l+1}$ as we see by expanding F_{ij} in Taylor series with respect to the vertical direction w. The terms in the expansion coincide up to order l and therefore we have $F_{ij}(z,w) = F_i^l(z,w) + F_{ijl}(z,w)$, where F_{ijl} are of order $\|z_2\|^k\|w\|^{l+1}$ and $F_i^l(z,w)$ have zeroes of order k on Z_S. Then $f_i(z,w) = F_i^l(z,w) + \sum F_{ijl}(z,w)\chi_j(z)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_i(z,w)\| &\leq C_1\|z_2\|^k, \\
\overline{\partial} f_i(z,w) &= \sum F_{ij}(z,w)\overline{\partial}\chi_j(z) = \sum F_{ijl}(z,w)\overline{\partial}\chi(z) \\
\partial f_i(z,w) &= \sum \partial F_{ij}(z,w)\chi_j(z) + F_{ij}(z,w)\partial\chi_j(z), \\
\partial\overline{\partial} f_i(z,w) &= \sum \partial F_{ijl}(z,w) \wedge \overline{\partial}\chi_j(z) + F_{ijl}(z,w)\partial\overline{\partial}\chi_j(z).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that there exist constants $C_1 - C_4$ and $D_2 - D_4$ such that the claims hold.

Because the sections generate E on some neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U}\setminus S)$ the constant D_5 exists for a small neighbourhood of $z_0 \in a(\overline{U}\setminus S)$ in Z.

We still have to prove that the sections generate E on a neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$ except on Z_S to prove the existence of the constant C_5. Since the statement is local, we may assume that E is trivial, $E = V \times \mathbb{C}^r$, with a local frame $e_1, \ldots, e_r$. Let A be the matrix with vector fields f_i-s as columns, $A = [f_1, \ldots, f_m]$ and consider the matrix AA^*; they both have the same rank. We will show that the rank of A equals r by constructing a matrix $B = AG$ such that its columns will be approximately of the form $z_2^\alpha e_\lambda$ where α is a multiindex of order k.

By definition of F_i-s for any monomial z_{2*}^α in $\mathcal{J}(a(S))^k$ at the point $z_0 = ((z_1,0),0) \in a(S)$ there exist coefficients $g_{\alpha i\lambda *}$ in the stalk $\mathcal{O}(a(X))_{z_0}$ such that $F_{\alpha\lambda *} := \sum g_{\alpha i\lambda *}F_{i*} = z_{2*}^\alpha e_\lambda$. Let $g_{\alpha i\lambda}$ be the functions on a neighbourhood V_{z_0} of z_0 obtained by representing first the germs by functions on a neighbourhood of z_0 in $a(X)$ and then extending them fibrewise to functions $g_{\alpha i\lambda}(z)$ depending only on z. Assume that the (local) sections F_i of the sheaf are represented by sections of E as above and denoted by the same letters. Then by definition of F_i-s we have

$$F_{\alpha\lambda}(z,w) = \sum g_{\alpha i\lambda}(z)F_i(z,w) = z_2^\alpha e_\lambda + O(\|w\|^{l+1}\|z_2\|^k)$$

and the same holds for the corresponding extensions f_i, because they coincide with F_i-s to the order l in $\|w\|$,

$$F_{\alpha\lambda}(z,w) = \sum g_{\alpha i\lambda}(z)f_i(z,w) = z_2^\alpha e_\lambda + O(\|w\|^{l+1}\|z_2\|^k).$$

Let B be a matrix with $F_{\alpha\lambda}$ as columns. We first write all $F_{\alpha\lambda}$ with $\lambda = 1$ and then with $\lambda = 2$ and so forth. Because the product BB^* equals

$$\sum |z_2^\alpha|^2 I + O(\|w\|^{l+1}\|z_2\|^{2k}) = (\sum |z_2^\alpha|^2)(I + O(\|w\|^{l+1}))$$

we conclude that the vector fields $F_{\alpha\lambda}$ and therefore also the vector fields f_i generate E on a neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$ except on Z_S. Since $B = AG$ for the matrix G defined by coefficients $g_{\alpha i\lambda}$ and because $\{z_2^\alpha e_\lambda\}$ is a subset of the canonical local generators $\{z_2^\alpha w^\beta e_\lambda\}$ the matrix G has full rank on a neighbourhood of z_0. The matrix B has full rank on some open neighbourhood V_T of $a(\overline{U})$ except on Z_S and so does A. In other words, there exist a constant

$C_5 > 0$ such that for every λ $\sum \|f_i^\lambda(z,w)\|^2 \geq C_5\|z_2\|^{2k}$ provided V_{z_0} is sufficiently small. $\square$

Remark 2.4. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times m}$ and $G \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$. Because G has full rank at z_0 it has a singular value decomposition $G = U^*\Sigma V$ with the matrix Σ of full rank equal to n. Then the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $D = \mathrm{Diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ in Σ is invertible. Since the singular values of AU^* and BV^* are the same as those of A and B respectively we may assume that the matrices U and V are identities. Then $BD^{-1} = AGD^{-1} = AI_{m,n} =: C$, where $I_{m,n}$ is the trivial inclusion $\mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$. Because of the properties of matrices B and D the matrix $CC^* = BD^{-2}B^*$ is of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} CC^* &= \mathrm{Diag}(\sum d_{i_1(\alpha)}^2|z_2^\alpha|^2, \ldots, \sum d_{i_r(\alpha)}^2|z_2^\alpha|^2) + \mathcal{O}(\|w\|^{l+1}\|z_2\|^{2k}) = \\ &= \mathrm{Diag}(\sum d_{i_1(\alpha)}^2|z_2^\alpha|^2, \ldots, \sum d_{i_r(\alpha)}^2|z_2^\alpha|^2)(I + \mathcal{O}(\|w\|^{l+1})) \end{aligned}$$

so that its smallest eigenvalue decreases at most as $c_1 \sum |z_2^\alpha|^2$ and the largest is bounded from above by $c_2 \sum |z_2^\alpha|^2$. Then $A = [C|A_1]$ and since $AA^* = CC^* + A_1A_1^*$ the smallest eigenvalue of AA^* does not decrease faster than $c_1 \sum |z_2^\alpha|^2$ and because the entries of A are bounded by $\|z_2\|^k$ the largest eigenvalue of AA^* is bounded by $c_3 \sum |z_2^\alpha|^2$; the constants c_1, c_2, c_3 are positive. Since all zeroes of the determinant $\det(AA^*)|_{V_{z_0}}$ are on $V_{z_0} \cap Z_S$ it decreases polynomially with respect to $\|z_2\|$ on V_T.

Remark 2.5. *Let F be a holomorphic section of a holomorphic bundle E over Z defined on a neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$ in $a(X)$. Then it is a section of $\mathcal{J}(a(S))^k(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{Q}^{l+1})$ for $l = 0$ and some $k \geq 0$. As in the proof of the above proposition there exist an almost holomorphic extension f of F such that $\overline{\partial} f(z,w) = \mathcal{O}(\|z_2\|^k\|w\|)$.*

2.1. **Construction of a polynomially degenerating strictly plurisubharmonic function and the Kähler metric.** In this section we describe the construction of a function Φ which is strictly plurisubharmonic on a neighbourhood of $a(U \setminus S)$, conic along $a(S)$. Its Levi form decreases polynomially with the distance to Z_S.

With exactly the same construction as in the proposition 2.1 (we take a trivial line bundle) we produce a finite number of functions $\varphi_{1,i}$ defined on an open neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$ obtained from lifts of the sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{J}(a(S))^{k_1}(\mathcal{J}(a(U'))/\mathcal{J}^{l_1+1}(a(U')))$, $U \Subset U'$. The sections are 0 on $a(\overline{U})$, holomorphic to order l_1 in the w-direction, have zeroes of order k_1 on Z_S and such that away from Z_S their vertical derivatives span the vertical cotangent bundle on a cone. The last assertion holds because near a point in $a(S)$ the functions are of the form

$$\varphi_{1,i}(z,w) = \sum_{j,|\alpha|=k_1} c_{ij\alpha}(z_1)z_2^\alpha w_j + \mathcal{O}(\|z_2\|^{k_1}\|w\|^2)$$

where α is a multiindex with $|\alpha| = k_1$. Similarly as in the previous subsection we show that the functions $z_2^\alpha w_j$ for all possible j, α are of the form $z_2^\alpha w_j = \sum g_{\alpha ij}(z)\varphi_{1,i}(z,w) + \mathcal{O}(\|z_2\|^{k_1}\|w\|^2)$ and $z_2^\alpha dw_j = \sum g_{\alpha ij}(z)\partial_{w_j}\varphi_{1,i}(z,w) + \mathcal{O}(\|z_2\|^{k_1}\|w\|)$. As before we conclude that the forms $\partial_{w_j}\varphi_{1,i}$ span the vertical cotangent bundle if $\|w\| \leq \|z_2\|$ and degenerate as $\|z_2\|^{k_1}$. For points in $a(U \setminus S)$ with $\|z_2\| > \delta$ locally we have a uniform estimate, i.e. we replace z_2 by 1. Define $\varphi_1 = \sum |\varphi_{1,i}|^2$ whose Levi form

$$i\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi_1 = i\sum \partial\varphi_{i,1} \wedge \overline{\partial\varphi_{i,1}} + i\sum \overline{\overline{\partial}\varphi_{i,1}} \wedge \overline{\partial}\varphi_{i,1} + i\sum \varphi_{i,1}\overline{\overline{\partial}\partial\varphi_{i,1}} + i\sum \partial\overline{\partial}\varphi_{i,1}\overline{\varphi_{i,1}}$$

has positive first two sums and all possibly negative terms are in the last two sums. Since they involve at least one $\overline{\partial}\varphi_{i,1}$ they go to zero at least as $\|w\|^{l_1-1}$. In the worst case the Levi form of

$$\Phi = \varphi + \varphi_1$$

in coordinates (z, w) is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \|z_2\|^{2k_0} + \|w\|^2\|z_2\|^{2k_1-2} + \|w\|^{2l_1+2}\|z_2\|^{2k_1-2}, & \|w\|\|z_2\|^{2k_1-1} \\ \|w\|\|z_2\|^{2k_1-1}, & \|z_2\|^{2k_1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} \|w\|^{l_1+2}\|z_2\|^{2k_1-1}, & \|w\|^{l_1}\|z_2\|^{2k_1} \\ \|w\|^{l_1}\|z_2\|^{2k_1}, & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the first matrix consists of the bound $\|z_2\|^{2k_0}$ for the smallest eigenvalue of the Levi form of φ and the first two terms of the above sum and is therefore positive and the second consists of the last two terms and might be negative. It is clear that this form is positive on a neighbourhood of points from $a(U \setminus S)$. If we assume, say, that $\|w\| \leq \|z_2\|^{k_0+2}$ then the sum of such matrices is a positive definite matrix, since the diagonal block

$$\begin{bmatrix} \|z_2\|^{2k_0} & 0 \\ 0 & \|z_2\|^{2k_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

dominates. Instead of that we may assume that $l_1 > 2k_0$ and take the cone $\|w\| \leq \|z_2\|^2$. In any case the Levi form $L\Phi$ is positive on a conic neighbourhood of $a(U \setminus S)$ and the form

$$\omega = i\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi$$

defines the Kähler metric we are going to use.

3. Nakano positive metric on a conic neighbourhood of a 1-convex set

In this section we first present some definitions and theorems on positivity of Hermitian metrics and then prove the first main theorem.

3.1. **Basic definitions and theorems on positivity of Hermitian metrics.** We refer to Demailly's book Complex analytic and algebraic geometry [1] and recall some theorems from it.

Let W be an n-dimensional complex manifold and $E \to W$ a holomorphic vector bundle equipped with a Hermitian metric h. The matrix H which corresponds to h in a local frame $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ is given by

$$\langle u, v\rangle_h = \sum h_{\lambda\mu} u_\lambda \overline{v_\mu} = u^T H \overline{v}.$$

The Chern curvature tensor $i\Theta(E)$ equals

$$i\Theta(E) = i\overline{\partial}(\overline{H}^{-1}\partial\overline{H}) = i\sum_{j,k} \Theta(E)_{jk} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k.$$

This can be considered as a matrix with $(1,1)$-forms as coefficients or as a $(1,1)$-form with matrices $i\Theta(E)_{jk}$ as coefficients.

If we denote the coefficient of $dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k$ in the *column* λ and the *row* μ by $c_{jk\lambda\mu}$, then

$$i\Theta(E) = i\sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k \otimes e^*_\lambda \otimes e_\mu, \tag{1}$$

where $1 \le j,k \le \dim W$ and $1 \le \lambda,\mu \le \operatorname{rank} E$. Note that the matrix $\Theta(E)_{jk}$ has coefficients $\{c_{jk\mu\lambda}\}_{\mu,\lambda}$. The bilinear form θ_E on $(TW \otimes E) \times (TW \otimes E)$ associated to $i\Theta(E)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_E(u,v) &= \sum_{j,k} \langle \Theta(E)_{jk} u_j, v_k\rangle_h = \sum_{j,k} u_j^T \Theta(E)_{jk}^T H \overline{v}_k = \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} u_{j\lambda} \overline{v}_{k\nu} \langle e_\mu, e_\nu\rangle_h = \\ &= \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} u_{j\lambda} \overline{v}_{k\nu} h_{\mu\nu},\end{aligned}$$

where $u = \sum_j (\partial/\partial z_j) \otimes u_j = \sum u_{j\lambda} (\partial/\partial z_j) \otimes e_\lambda$ and $v = \sum_k (\partial/\partial z_k) \otimes v_k = \sum v_{k\nu} (\partial/\partial z_k) \otimes e_\nu$. In an orthonormal frame $e_1, \dots e_r$ the form can be written as

$$\theta_E = \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} (dz_j \otimes e_\lambda^*) \otimes \overline{(dz_k \otimes e_\mu^*)}. \tag{2}$$

The form (2) gives rise to several positivity concepts. The 'weakest' is the Griffiths positivity which means that the form (2) is positive on the decomposable tensors $\tau = \xi \otimes v$, $\xi \in TW$, $v \in E$ so that

$$\theta_E(\tau,\tau) = \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k v_\lambda \overline{v}_\mu.$$

The 'strongest' is the Nakano positivity requiring that the form θ be positive on $\tau = \sum \tau_{j\lambda} (\partial/\partial z_j) \otimes e_\lambda$,

$$\theta_E(\tau,\tau) = \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} \tau_{j\lambda} \overline{\tau}_{k\mu}.$$

In the case of holomorphic vector bundles the Griffiths curvature decreases in subbundles and increases in quotient bundles. This is not the case with Nakano positive bundles. Curvature in the sense of Nakano decreases in subbundles but does not increase in quotient bundles. In a related manner the dual of Nakano negative bundle is not necessarily Nakano positive. The connection between the two positivity concepts is described in the following

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem VII-8.1, [1]). *If $E >_{\text{Grif}} 0$ then $E \otimes (\det E) >_{\text{Nak}} 0$.*

Let H be a matrix defining the metric h on E in a local frame $e_1, \dots, e_r$ and let $H(z_0) = I$. Then at z_0 the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_{E\otimes(\det E)} = \theta_E + \operatorname{Tr}_E(\theta_E) \otimes h, \text{ where} \\ &\operatorname{Tr}_E(\theta_E)(\xi,\xi) = \sum_{1\le\lambda\le r} \theta_E(\xi \otimes e_\lambda, \xi \otimes e_\lambda),\ \xi \in TW.\end{aligned}$$

This means that if E is Griffiths positive then $\det E$ is positive. Let $e = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_r$ and $\tau = \sum \tau_{j\lambda} (\partial/\partial z_j) \otimes e_\lambda$. Then $\|e\| = 1$ and

$$\theta_{E\otimes(\det E)}(\tau \otimes e, \tau \otimes e) = (\sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} \tau_{j\lambda} \overline{\tau}_{k\mu} + \sum c_{jk\lambda\lambda} \tau_{j\mu} \overline{\tau}_{k\mu}) \|e\|^2. \tag{3}$$

The last sum comes from the induced metric $\overline{\partial}\partial \log\det H$ on $\det E$. In matrix form it is represented as $(\overline{\partial}\partial \log\det H)\operatorname{Id}_E$ and the curvature of the tensor product is

$$i(\overline{\partial}(\overline{H}^{-1}\partial\overline{H}) + (\overline{\partial}\partial \log\det H)\operatorname{Id}_E) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\det E}.$$

3.2. **Proof of theorem 1.1.** The Nakano positive Hermitian metric on V is obtained from the induced metric on the quotient bundle of the trivial bundle. We first construct an almost Griffiths positive metric, correct it to a Griffiths positive one and then simulate the tensor product by the determinant bundle $\det E$ using a suitable weight to obtain an almost Nakano positive metric: we consider E as $E = (E \otimes \det E) \otimes (\det E)^*$ and choose a weight Φ_1 in such a way that the line bundle $(\det E)^*$ with the metric $h_{\det E^*} e^{-\Phi_1}$ is almost Nakano positive and in the last step correct this metric with another weight to make it Nakano positive. In order to do this we have to have finitely many sections of E spanning $E|_V$ which are holomorphic to a high degree. The form which defines the metric is defined on $V_T \setminus Z_S$ with polynomial poles on Z_S but fulfills the positivity requirements only on a conic set.

If we were given a Nakano positive metric on a neighbourhood of $a(S)$ then this construction would not be needed because the positivity could be achieved by using a weight of the form $e^{-\Phi}$, where Φ is strictly plurisubharmonic on a neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U} \setminus S)$ conic along $a(S)$. In general we do not have such a metric.

Proof. By proposition 2.1 there exist finitely many smooth vector fields $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ on an open neighbourhood V_T of $a(U)$, holomorphic to order l in the vertical direction, and zero of order k on Z_S defining a surjective vector bundle homomorphism $f : U_Z \times \mathbb{C}^m \to E|_{U_Z}$, where $U_Z = V_T \setminus Z_S$. Thus the bundle $E|_{U_Z}$ can be given the metric of $\ker f^\perp$. Consider the mapping f in some local chart, denote by r the rank of the bundle and let (z, w) be the local coordinates as usual. Then the mapping f can be represented as a $r \times m$ matrix A with coefficients f_{ij} which are holomorphic up to order l in the vertical direction and therefore $\overline{\partial} A \approx \|w\|^l$. The linear mapping given by A has the inverse $A^{-1} : E|_{U_Z} \to \ker f^\perp$. Then for $u, v \in E|_{U_Z}$ we have

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{h_0} := \langle A^{-1}u, A^{-1}v \rangle,$$

where the right scalar product is the usual one on $\mathbb{C}^m$. By definition the matrix $H_0 = \{h_{0,ij}\}$ associated with the $(1,1)$-form which defines the scalar product is

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{h_0} = \sum h_{0,ij} u_i \overline{v_j} = u^\top H_0 \overline{v} = u^\top {A^{-1}}^\top \overline{A^{-1}} \overline{v}$$

and has poles on Z_S. So

$$H_0 = \overline{A^{-1^*} A^{-1}}.$$

The Nakano curvature tensor can be calculated by the formula

$$\Theta(E)_0 = \overline{\partial}(\overline{H_0}^{-1} \partial \overline{H_0}).$$

Before continuing let us express $\overline{H_0}^{-1}$ by the matrix A. Since away from Z_S the matrix A has full rank it has at every point $z_0 \in U_Z$ a singular value decomposition

$$A = V \Sigma U^*,$$

where V, U are unitary matrices and Σ is a $r \times m$ matrix with all entries equal to 0 except those on the diagonal, $d_1, \ldots, d_r$, which are square roots of eigenvalues of AA^*. The partial inverse A^{-1} is then given by $U \Sigma^{-1} V^*$, where Σ^{-1} is $m \times r$ matrix with only diagonal elements $d_1^{-1} \geq \ldots \geq d_r^{-1} > 0$ nonzero. We have

$$A^{-1^*} A^{-1} = V {\Sigma^{-1}}^\top U^* U \Sigma^{-1} V^* = V D^{-2} V^*,$$

where D is a diagonal matrix with diagonal $d_1, \ldots, d_r$. By construction we have

$$AA^* = V\Sigma U^* U \Sigma^* V^* = VD^2V^*$$

and so

$$(AA^*)^{-1} = VD^{-2}V^* = A^{-1^*}A^{-1}.$$

This means that

$$\overline{H_0} = (AA^*)^{-1}.$$

For an invertible matrix B we have $\partial B^{-1} = -B^{-1}\partial B B^{-1}$. The curvature is

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\partial}(\overline{H_0}^{-1}\partial\overline{H_0}) &= -\overline{\partial}((AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1}\partial(AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1}) \\
&= -\overline{\partial}(\partial(AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1}) \\
&= -\overline{\partial}\partial(AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1} + \partial(AA^*)\wedge\overline{\partial}(AA^*)^{-1} \\
&= -\overline{\partial}\partial(AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1} - \partial(AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1}\wedge\overline{\partial}(AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in calculating the curvature tensor at some point z_0. Let's make a change of coordinates such that $D(z_0) = I$. Then $AA^*(z_0) = I$ and the above expression simplifies to

$$\overline{\partial}(\overline{H_0}^{-1}\partial\overline{H_0}) = -\overline{\partial}\partial(AA^*) - \partial(AA^*)\wedge\overline{\partial}(AA^*).$$

Let us calculate each of the terms separately. The first one is

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\partial}\partial(AA^*) &= \overline{\partial}((\partial A)A^* + A(\overline{\partial}A)^*) \\
&= (\overline{\partial}\partial A)A^* - \partial A\wedge(\partial A)^* + \overline{\partial}A\wedge(\overline{\partial}A)^* + A(\partial\overline{\partial}A)^*,
\end{aligned}$$

and the second one is

$$\partial(AA^*)\wedge\overline{\partial}(AA^*) = ((\partial A)A^* + A(\overline{\partial}A)^*)\wedge((\overline{\partial}A)A^* + A(\partial A)^*).$$

All of the terms containing $\overline{\partial}A$ are small when close to the section $a(U)$. If $z_0 \in a(U\setminus S)$ then they are 0. We divide the curvature form into two forms: the one without the $\overline{\partial}A$ expressions is denoted by Θ_1 and the remaining part by Θ_2. Then

$$\Theta_1 = -(-\partial A\wedge(\partial A)^*) - \partial AA^*\wedge A(\partial A)^* = \partial A\wedge(\partial A)^* - \partial A(A^*A)\wedge(\partial A)^*.$$

Denote by A_s the s-th column of A. Since we have chosen $D(z_0) = I$ we have $A^*A = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{C}^r}$ and this means that

$$\Theta_1(\xi\otimes v, \xi\otimes v) = \sum_{s=1}^{m}|\langle\partial A_s(\xi), v\rangle|^2 - \sum_{s=1}^{r}|\langle\partial A_s(\xi), v\rangle|^2 \geq 0$$

is nonnegative on $V_T\setminus Z_S$.

If we multiply our initial trivial metric by $e^{-\Phi}$ the curvature tensor gets an additional term $L\Phi$, where $L\Phi$ denotes the Levi form of Φ and thus the form becomes strictly positive on $a(U\setminus S)$ and consequently the bundle has positive Griffiths curvature at least on some open neighbourhood of $a(U\setminus S)$. We claim that it can be chosen to be conic.

Wherever Φ is strictly plurisubharmonic we are adding a strictly positive $(1,1)$-form. The bad news is that Φ is such only on a conic neighbourhood and its Levi form decreases polynomially as we approach Z_S. But if we manage to show that the form Θ_2 goes to 0 even faster, then we can make Griffiths curvature positive on a conic neighbourhood. In order to

find the rate of decreasing we must work in ambient coordinates (and hence can not assume that $D(z_0) = I$ if $z_0 \in a(S)$). The form Θ_2 is therefore equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_2 &= (-\overline{\partial}\partial AA^* - \overline{\partial}A \wedge (\overline{\partial}A)^* - A(\partial\overline{\partial}A)^*)(AA^*)^{-1} \\ &\quad -(\partial AA^* + A(\overline{\partial}A)^*)(AA^*)^{-1} \wedge (\overline{\partial}AA^*)(AA^*)^{-1} \\ &\quad -A(\overline{\partial}A)^*(AA^*)^{-1} \wedge A(\partial A)^*(AA^*)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By construction the $\det(AA^*) = 0$ only on fibres above S and goes to 0 polynomially with respect to distance from the Z_S. If $z = (z_1, z_2)$ denotes the horizontal directions we have $\det(AA^*) \geq c\|z_2\|^{n_2}$ for some constant n_2 (by remark 2.4 the constant is in fact $n_2 = 2rk$). Because of noninvertibility of AA^* the form Θ_2 has poles and they are hidden in the determinant $\det(AA^*)$. Each term involving $(AA^*)^{-1}$ also involves a term of the form $\overline{\partial}A \approx \|w\|^{l+1}\|z_2\|^k$. So if $\|w\| \leq c\|z_2\|^{n_2+n_3}$ for some $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ all the terms will go to 0 at least as $\|z_2\|^{n_3}$ inside this cone as we approach the set $a(S)$. If n_3 is large enough the possible negativity of Θ_2 will be compensated by the Levi form $L\Phi$. Since we only have Griffiths nonnegative curvature it can be made strict by adding another factor $e^{-\Phi}$. The new (now Griffiths positive) Hermitian metric on E is denoted by

$$h_1 = h_0 e^{-2\Phi}.$$

Remark 3.2. *Let $i\Theta_i = i\sum \Theta(E)^i_{jk} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k$. We may assume that at a given point after a unitary change of coordinates we have $L\Phi = \sum \sigma_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$ where $\sigma_j \geq c\|z_2\|^{2\max(k_0,k_1)}$. Let the bilinear form θ be associated to Θ in the metric h_0 and let θ^1 be associated to $\Theta^1 = \Theta + 2L\Phi\,\mathrm{Id}_E$ in the metric h_1. The quadratic form for Griffiths curvature is*

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^1(\xi \otimes v, \xi \otimes v) &= \Big(\sum \xi_j\overline{\xi}_k v^T \Theta(E)^{1T}_{jk} H_0 \overline{v} + \sum \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k v^T \Theta(E)^{2T}_{jk} H_0 \overline{v} + \\ &\quad +2\sum \sigma_j |\xi_j|^2 v^T H_0 \overline{v}\Big) e^{-2\Phi}\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \otimes v = \sum \xi_j v(\partial/\partial z_j)$. The first form is nonnegative and the third degenerates in the worst case as $\|z_2\|^{2\max(k_0,k_1)-2k}$ by remark 2.4. The second form has coefficients bounded by $\|z_2\|^{n_3-2k}$ when approaching Z_S and for large n_3 they are smaller than $\|z_2\|^{2\max(k_0,k_1)-2k}$ and for an even larger n_3 they go to zero as $\|z_2\| \to 0$.

Let H_1 be the matrix representing h_1 in a local frame of E. Then the determinant bundle has a metric given by $\tau_1 = \det(h_{1,\lambda\mu})$ and since the curvature of $\det E$ is positive, we have

$$-\partial\overline{\partial}\log\tau_1 = \partial\overline{\partial}\log\tau_1^{-1} > 0.$$

Consider the induced metric on the dual bundle E^*. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ be a local frame of E and $e_1^*, \ldots e_r^*$ the dual frame. Each e_λ^* can be represented as the scalar product by the vector f_λ satisfying the equation $\langle e_\mu, f_\lambda\rangle_{h_1} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ or $H_1\overline{F} = I$ where $F = [f_1, \ldots, f_r]$. Then the induced scalar product is given by the matrix $\overline{F^T H_1 \overline{F}} = F^* = H_1^{T-1}$. The induced metric $\det(h_1)^*$ on $\det E^*$ in the dual coordinates is thus represented by τ_1^{-1}. Let $v_1^*, \ldots, v_k^*$ be almost holomorphic sections of $(\det E)^*$ given by proposition 2.1. They generate the bundle on a neighbourhood of $a(U)$ in Z except over the fibres over $a(S)$. Then we can multiply the metric h_1 by the weight $e^{-\log\Phi_1} = \Phi_1^{-1}$,

$$\Phi_1 = \sum_i \langle v_i^*, v_i^*\rangle_{\det(h_1)^*},$$

to obtain the metric

$$h_2 = h_1 e^{-\log \Phi_1}.$$

In the local frame $e_1, \dots e_r$ of E we have with $e^* := (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_r)^*$ the norm

$$\langle e^*, e^* \rangle_{\det(h_1)^*} = \tau_1^{-1}$$

and since $v_i^* = \alpha_i e^*$ for some almost holomorphic functions α_i we have

$$\langle v_i^*, v_i^* \rangle_{\det(h_1)^*} = \tau_1^{-1} |\alpha_i|^2$$

and so the weight equals

$$\Phi_1 = \sum (\tau_1^{-1} |\alpha_i|^2) = \tau_1^{-1} \sum |\alpha_i|^2.$$

The metric is

$$h_2 = h_1 \tau_1 \frac{1}{\sum |\alpha_i|^2}$$

and has again polynomial poles only on Z_S if restricted to some small neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$ in Z. The curvature tensor is then

$$i\overline{\partial}(\overline{H_1}^{-1} \partial \overline{H_1}) + (i\partial\overline{\partial} \log \tau_1^{-1} + i\partial\overline{\partial} \log \sum |\alpha_i|^2) \operatorname{Id}_E$$

and has polynomial poles on Z_S.

The first two terms represent the curvature tensor of $E \otimes (\det E)$; it is Nakano positive by theorem (3.1) wherever E is Griffiths positive. The last term would be nonnegative if α_i were holomorphic. Since they are only almost holomorphic there may be negative terms hidden in the last sum of the curvature tensor. But all the negative terms are multiplied by terms of the form $\overline{\partial}\alpha_i$ and only add terms that are bounded (and go to zero) on some conic neighbourhood:

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial\overline{\partial} \log \sum \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_i} \;=\; & \frac{i}{(\sum |\alpha_i|^2)^2} \Big(\sum |\alpha_j|^2 \sum \partial\alpha_i \wedge \overline{\partial\alpha_i} - \sum \partial\alpha_j \overline{\alpha_j} \wedge \sum \alpha_i \overline{\partial\alpha_i} \Big) \\ & - \frac{i}{(\sum |\alpha_i|^2)^2} \Big(\sum \alpha_j \overline{\overline{\partial}\alpha_j} \wedge (\alpha_i \overline{\partial\alpha_i} + \overline{\alpha_i} \overline{\partial}\alpha_i) + \overline{\alpha_i \alpha_j} \partial\alpha_j \wedge \overline{\partial}\alpha_i \Big) \\ & + \frac{i}{\sum |\alpha_i|^2} \Big(\sum \alpha_i L\overline{\alpha_i} + L\alpha_i \overline{\alpha_i} + \overline{\overline{\partial}\alpha_i} \wedge \overline{\partial}\alpha_i \Big). \end{aligned}$$

The first line is positive by the Lagrange identity and the rest is potentially negative. Take a point $(z, 0) \in a(U \setminus S)$. There we have $\overline{\partial}\alpha_i = 0$ and $\overline{\partial}\alpha_i(z, w) \approx \|w\|^{l_2}$ for some $l_2 > 2$ otherwise. On a neighbourhood of $(z, 0) \in a(S)$ we have for some $k_2 > 2$ by proposition 2.1 the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum |\alpha_i|^2 \approx \|z_2\|^{2k_2}, \\ & \overline{\partial}\alpha_i(z, w) \approx \|w\|^{l_2} \|z_2\|^{k_2}, \\ & \partial\alpha(z, w) \approx \|z_2\|^{k_2 - 1} \\ & L\alpha_i(z, w) \approx \|w\|^{l_2 - 1} \|z_2\|^{k_2 - 1} (\|z_2\| + \|w\|). \end{aligned}$$

So second and the third line of the Levi form are of the form

$$C_1 \frac{\|w\|^{l_2}}{\|z_2\|} + C_2 \|w\|^{2l_2} + C_3 \|w\|^{l_2} + C_4 \|w\|^{l_2 - 1}$$

and decrease polynomially in conic neighbourhoods of the form $\|w\| < \|z_2\|^{k_3}$ for k_3 large enough. Therefore in some conic neighbourhood thin enough with respect to $\|w\|$ and sharp enough along $a(S)$ the negativity of these two terms can be compensated by the weight $e^{-C\Phi}$ for some positive constant C as before. Since S is compact there exist C large enough for all $(z,0) \in a(S)$. The desired metric is therefore

$$h = h_3 = h_2 e^{-(C+1)\Phi} = h_0 e^{-((C+3)\Phi + \log \Phi_1)}, C > 0$$

and has polynomial poles on Z_S, $h((z_1,z_2),w) \approx \|z_2\|^{-\kappa_1} h_E((z_1,z_2),w)$, $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Remark 3.3. *Note that choosing a large k_2 produces a large pole on Z_S in the weight. The form θ_3 corresponding to h_3 also has polynomial poles only on Z_S.*

4. $\overline{\partial}$-equation on conic neighbourhoods

In this section we first present some results on L^2-methods on $\overline{\partial}$-equation from [1] and then solve the $\overline{\partial}$-equation for (n,q) and $(p,0)$-forms.

4.1. **Basic theorems on $\overline{\partial}$-equation with values in a vector bundle.** Let (W,ω) be an n-dimensional Kähler manifold with the Kähler form $\omega = i\sum \gamma_i dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_i$, $E \to W$ a vector bundle equipped with a Hermitian metric h and let H be the corresponding matrix in a local frame $e_1, \ldots, e_r$. Let $i\Theta(E)$ be the Chern curvature tensor and Λ the adjoint of the operator $u \to u \wedge \omega$ defined on (p,q)-forms. The scalar product on $\Lambda^{p,q}(W,E)$ is defined pointwise as

$$\langle u_{JK\lambda} dz_J \wedge d\overline{z}_K \otimes e_\lambda, v_{J_1K_1\mu} dz_{J_1} \wedge d\overline{z}_{K_1} \otimes e_\mu \rangle = u_{JK\lambda} \overline{v_{JK\mu}} \gamma^{-J} \gamma^{-K} h_{\lambda\mu},$$

if $J = J_1, K = K_1$ and 0 otherwise; $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ and J, K are multiindices, $|J| = |J_1| = p, |K| = |K_1| = q$. Denote by $L^2_{p,q}(W,E)$ the space of (p,q)-forms with values in E and with bounded L^2-norms with respect to the given metric h and the form ω. Define the Hermitian operator $A_{E,\omega}$ as the commutator

$$A_{E,\omega} = [i\Theta(E), \Lambda].$$

Theorem 4.1 (Theorem VIII-4.5, [1])**.** *If (W,ω) is complete and $A_{E,\omega} > 0$ in bidegree (p,q), then for any $\overline{\partial}$-closed form $u \in L^2_{p,q}(W,E)$ with*

$$\int_W \langle A^{-1}_{E,\omega} u, u \rangle dV < \infty$$

there exists $v \in L^2_{p,q-1}(W,E)$ such that $\overline{\partial} v = u$ and

$$\|v\|^2 \leq \int_W \langle A^{-1}_{E,\omega} u, u \rangle dV.$$

Remark 4.2. *If v is replaced by the minimal L^2-norm solution and u is smooth, so is v.*

The positivity of $A_{E,\omega}$ can be expressed with the coefficients of $i\Theta(E)$. In bidegree (n,q) the positivity of the operator $A_{E,\omega}$ follows from Nakano positivity of E. They are connected by the following formula with respect to the standard Kähler metric and an orthonormal frame on E at a given point:

$$\langle A_{E,\omega} u, u \rangle = \sum_{|S|=q-1} \sum_{j,k,\lambda,\mu} c_{jk\lambda\mu} u_{jS,\lambda} \overline{u}_{kS,\mu}, \quad u = \sum u_{J\lambda} dz_J \otimes e_\lambda,$$

where $i\Theta(E)$ is given by (1).

In bidegree (n,q) we have a theorem that provides the estimates in possibly noncomplete Kähler metric provided that the manifold possesses a complete one.

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem VIII-6.1, [1])**.** *Let $(W,\hat{\omega})$ be a complete n-dimensional Kähler manifold, ω another Kähler metric, possibly non complete, and $E \to W$ a Nakano semi-positive vector bundle. Let $u \in L^2_{n,q}(W,E)$, $q \geq 1$, be a closed form satisfying*

$$\int_W \langle A^{-1}_{E,\omega} u, u\rangle dV_\omega < \infty.$$

Then there exists $v \in L^2_{p,q-1}(W,E)$ such that $\overline{\partial} v = u$ and

$$\|v\|^2 \leq \int_W \langle A^{-1}_{E,\omega} u, u\rangle dV_\omega.$$

4.2. **$\overline{\partial}$-equation in bidegree (n,q).** We can now solve the $\overline{\partial}$-problem for (n,q)-forms with the metric h given by theorem 1.1. The curvature tensor equals

$$i\Theta(E) = i\Theta(E)_0 + i\partial\overline{\partial}((C+3)\Phi + \log\Phi_1)$$

and therefore the curvature form $A_{E,\omega}$ is strictly positive on the neighbourhood $\tilde{V}$ of $a(\overline{U}\setminus S)$, conic along $a(S)$. Given $g : X \to \mathbb{C}$, $N(g) \supset S$ there exist by [3] an arbitrarily thin and sharp Stein neighbourhood $V \subset \tilde{V}$ of $a(U \setminus N(g))$ in Z, conic along $a(N(g))$ and it possesses a complete Kähler metric. As a result theorem 4.3 yields the following

Theorem 4.4. *Let u be a closed smooth (n,q)-form on V with values in E satisfying*

$$\int_V \langle A^{-1}_{E,\omega} u, u\rangle_h e^{-M\log|g|} dV_\omega < \infty$$

for some $M \geq 0$. Then there exist a smooth $(n,q-1)$-form v solving $\overline{\partial} v = u$ with

$$\|v\|^2 = \int_V \langle v, v\rangle_h e^{-M\log|g|} dV_\omega \leq \int_V \langle A^{-1}_{E,\omega} u, u\rangle_h e^{-M\log|g|} dV_\omega.$$

Assume in addition that $q = 1$ and that the smooth form u has at most polynomial growth when approaching the boundary with respect to h_Z and h_E. Then v has at most polynomial growth at the boundary. If $\|u\|_\infty$ is bounded and M is large enough, then within a smaller cone $V' \subset V$ with $\partial V \cap \partial V' \subset a(N(g))$ obtained by shrinking V in the vertical direction and taking a smaller neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$ (see figure 2) we have $\lim_{z\to z_0} v(z) = 0$ for every point $z_0 \in a(N(g))$.

Notice that by multiplying the metric by $e^{-M\log|g|}$ we do not change the curvature, since $\log|g|$ is pluriharmonic.

The last statement of the theorem follows from Bochner-Martinelli-Koppelman (BMK) formula. Let v be a $(p,0)$-form, $v(z) = \sum_{|P|=p} a_P(z) dz_P$, and define $|v(z)|_\infty := \max_P |a_P(z)|$, P is a multiindex. Rephrasing the proof in [2], lemma 3.2., for $(p,0)$-forms we obtain

Lemma 4.5. *Let v be a $(p,0)$-form with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}^1(\varepsilon B^n(0,1))$, where $B^n(0,1)$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then we have the estimate*

$$|v(0)|_\infty \leq C(\varepsilon^{-n}\|v\|_{L^2(\varepsilon B^n(0,1))} + \varepsilon\|\overline{\partial} v\|_{L^\infty(\varepsilon B^n(0,1))}).$$

The constant C depends on n only.

Proof. Let χ be a smooth cut-off function on $B = B^n(0,1)$, $\chi = 1$ on $\frac{1}{2}B$. Fix a multiindex P and estimate $v(\zeta)_P = a(\zeta)_P d\zeta_P$. The BMK kernel is

$$B(z,\zeta) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(2i\pi)^n|\zeta - z|^{2n}} \sum (-1)^{j-1}(\overline{\zeta}_j - \overline{z}_j) \wedge d(\overline{\zeta} - \overline{z})[j] \wedge d(\zeta - z),$$

where $dz = dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_n$ and $dz[j]$ is the $(n-1)$-form obtained from dz by omitting dz_j.

We set $B = \sum B_q^p$ where B_q^p is of the type (p,q) in z and $(n-p, n-q-1)$ in ζ and let $B_0^p = \sum B_0^{p,P}$ where $B_0^{p,P}$ is of the type dz_P.

The BMK formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^p v(0)_P &= \int_{\partial \varepsilon B} v(\zeta)\chi(\zeta/\varepsilon) \wedge B_0^{p,P}(0,\zeta) - \int_{\varepsilon B} \overline{\partial}(v(\zeta)\chi(\zeta/\varepsilon)) \wedge B_0^{p,P}(0,\zeta) \\ &= -\int_{\varepsilon B} \overline{\partial} v(\zeta) \wedge \chi(\zeta/\varepsilon) B_0^{p,P}(0,\zeta) - \int_{\varepsilon B} v(\zeta) \wedge \overline{\partial}(\chi(\zeta/\varepsilon)) \wedge B_0^{p,P}(0,\zeta).\end{aligned}$$

In the second integral the form $\overline{\partial}\chi(\zeta/\varepsilon) \wedge B_0^{p,P}(0,\zeta)$ has its support on $\varepsilon/2 < |\zeta| < \varepsilon$ and is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, B_0^p has coefficients bounded by $\|\varepsilon\|^{-2n+1}$, $\overline{\partial}(\chi(\zeta/\varepsilon)) = \overline{\partial}\chi(z)|_{z=\zeta/\varepsilon}\varepsilon^{-1}$ and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the integral can be estimated by $\varepsilon^{-n}C_1\|v\|_{L^2(\varepsilon B)}$. The first integral is bounded by $\varepsilon C_2 \|\overline{\partial} v\|_{L^\infty}$. □

Proof of 4.4. The only thing to be proved is the last paragraph of the theorem. We have to compare the L^2-estimates for metric h on E and Kähler form ω with analogous estimates for the ambient Hermitian metric h_E on E and the ambient Hermitian form ω_Z on Z. The distances on Z are measured with respect to h_Z. Near $a(S)$ we have $h((z_1,z_2),w) \approx \|z_2\|^{-\kappa_1} h_E((z_1,z_2),w)$, $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $dV_\omega((z_1,z_2),w) \approx \|z_2\|^{\kappa_2} dV_{\omega_Z}((z_1,z_2),w)$, $\kappa_2 \in \mathbb{N}$; outside an open set containing $a(S)$ both metrics and both volume forms are uniformly equivalent. Therefore the result follows immediately for those boundary points which are not in $a(N(g))$. Let $\|v\|^2 = \|v\|^2_{(V,h|g(z)|^{-M},\omega)}$. For small ball of radius $\delta/2$ and centre z_0 at the distance δ from ∂V we have the estimate

$$\|v\|^2 \geq \|v\|^2_{(B(z_0,\delta/2),h|g(z)|^{-M},\omega)} \geq \inf_{B(z_0,\delta/2)} \frac{1}{|g(z)|^M} \|v\|^2_{(B(z_0,\delta/2),h,\omega)}.$$

Let $z_0 = ((z_1,z_2),w)$ be a point near $a(S)$. Then we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|^2_{(B(z_0,\delta/2),h_E,\omega_Z)} &\leq \|v\|^2_{(B(z_0,\delta/2),h|g(z)|^{-M},\omega)} \sup_{B(z_0,\delta/2)} |g(z)|^M \|z_2\|^\kappa \\ &\leq \|v\|^2 \sup_{B(z_0,\delta/2)} |g(z)|^M \|z_2\|^\kappa\end{aligned}$$

for $\kappa = \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$; the zeroes of the form ω may not be compensated by the poles of h and so the exponent κ can be negative.

Near points in $a(N(g))$ we can estimate the sup norm of v in the following way. Let $V' \subset V$ be a cone inside V' as in theorem 4.4 (figure 2). The form v is continuous on $\overline{V'} \setminus a(N(g))$.

Consider the segment $W = W(\varepsilon) := \{(z,w) \in \overline{V'}, \varepsilon \leq |g(z)| < 2\varepsilon\}$. The distance $\delta := d(W(\varepsilon), \partial V)$ with respect to h_Z depends polynomially on ε and therefore polynomially on



FIGURE 2. The cone V' and the segment W

$|g|$ so together with the above lemma we conclude from the estimate

$$|v(z_0)|_\infty \leq C\left(\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^n \|v\| \sup_{B(z_0,\delta/2)} |g(z)|^M \|z_2\|^\kappa + \frac{\delta}{2}\|u\|_\infty\right)$$

that the values of v have at most polynomial poles when z_0 approaches $a(N(g))$. If $\|u\|_\infty$ is bounded and M is large enough such that $\sup_{z_0\in V, d(z_0,W(\varepsilon))<\delta/2} |g(z)|^M \|z_2\|^\kappa \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ the values of v go to 0 when approaching $a(N(g))$ within $\overline{V'}$. In this case v has a continuous extension to $\overline{V'}$.

□

Consider a neighbourhood $V_{z_0} \subset Z$ of a point $z_0 \in a(S)$ with the standard Kähler metric $\omega_0 = i\sum dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_i$. Let A_{E,ω_0} be a commutator with respect to the standard metric ω_0 in the bidegree (n,q),

$$A_{E,\omega_0} u = \sum_{|I|=q-1,j,k,\lambda,\mu} c_{jk\lambda\mu} u_{jI} dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_{kI} \otimes e_\mu$$

Then the largest eigenvalue of A^{-1}_{E,ω_0} has at most polynomial poles on $a(S)$. The commutator $A_{E,\omega}$ with respect to the given Kähler metric $\omega = i\sum \gamma_i dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_i$ is given by Lemma VIII-6.3,[1]:

$$A_{E,\omega} u = \sum_{|I|=q-1,j,k,\lambda,\mu} \gamma_j^{-1} c_{jk\lambda\mu} u_{jI} dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_{kI} \otimes e_\mu.$$

Then the maximal eigenvalue of $A^{-1}_{E,\omega}$ still has at most polynomial poles on Z_S, i.e. it behaves in the worst case as $\|z_2\|^{-k}$ for some k.

Corollary 4.6 (Extensions)**.** *Notation as above. Let v be a section of $\Lambda^{n,0}T^*Z \otimes E|_{a(X)}$ defined on a neighbourhood of $a(\overline{U})$, i.e. a holomorphic $(n,0)$-form with values in E and coefficients in $\mathcal{J}(S)^k$. There exist a Stein neighbourhood V of $a(U\backslash N(g))$ conic along $a(N(g))$ and a $(n,0)$-form $\tilde{v} \in \Lambda^{n,0}T^*Z \otimes E|_V$ extending v with at most polynomial growth at the boundary.*

Proof of 4.6. Recall that $r_0 = \dim VT(Z)$ is the fibre dimension. Since V is Stein it is Kähler and complete and because $a(X)$ in V is given as a zero set of finitely many global functions, the Kähler manifold $V \setminus a(X)$ is also complete (lemma VIII-7.2, [1]; because V is

Stein the analytic set $a(X) \cap V$ is defined by finitely many holomorphic functions and then the bundle E in lemma 7.2 is trivial). The function

$$\Phi_2 = \varphi + \varphi_1 + \log(\varphi_1)$$

is strictly plurisubharmonic on some conic neighbourhood of the form $\|w\| \leq \|z_2\|^{k_4}$ and has a logarithmic pole on the section $a(U)$. This follows immediately from the estimates derived in the proof of theorem 1.1. We are solving the $\overline{\partial}$-equation with the metric

$$h_4 = he^{-r_0\Phi_2}.$$

Take an extension of the form v in the vertical direction obtained by patching together local holomorphic lifts as in remark 2.5, denote it again by v and let $u = \overline{\partial}v$. Since $u(z,0) = 0$ close to $a(S)$ the coefficients of u are bounded by $C\|w\|\|z_2\|^k$ and away from $a(S)$ by $C\|w\|$. By construction we have $\varphi_1 \geq \|w\|^2\|z_2\|^{2k_1}$. The inverse of $A_{E,\omega}$ with respect to the metric h_4 has a polynomial pole on $a(S)$ and the metric h_4 has a polynomial pole there, so we have a polynomial pole in the scalar product. Let the whole term be bounded by $\|z_2\|^{-2k_3}$.

Let us introduce the polar coordinates in the base and fibre directions in the integral

$$\int_{V\setminus a(X)} \langle A^{-1}_{E,\omega}u, u\rangle_h e^{-r_0\Phi_2} dV_\omega.$$

On a neighbourhood of a point in $a(S)$ the integrand is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}&(\|z_2\|^{-2k_3})(\|w\|^2\|z_2\|^{2k})(\|w\|^{-2r_0}\|z_2\|^{-2r_0k_1})(\|w\|^{2r_0-1}\|z_2\|^{2k_5}) = \\ &= \|w\|\|z_2\|^{2(k-k_3-r_0k_1+2k_5)}.\end{aligned}$$

The terms in the last bracket come from the volume form if we introduce the polar coordinates in the base and fibre directions and take into account the form ω which has zeroes on Z_S. The integral on some neighbourhood of this point is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}&c_1\int_0^\delta d\|z_1\| \int_0^\delta d\|z_2\| \int_0^{\|z_2\|^{k_4}} \|w\|\|z_2\|^{2(k-k_3-r_0k_1+2k_5)} d\|w\| = \\ &= c_2\int_0^\delta \|z_2\|^{2(k-k_3-r_0k_1+2k_5+k_4)} d\|z_2\|\end{aligned}$$

and it converges if either the cone is sharp enough (i.e. k_4 large) or the form has a zero of high enough order (k large).

On a neighbourhood of points in $a(U \setminus S)$ the integral is approximately of the type $\|w\|$ and is therefore finite because the set V is relatively compact.

Let $\tilde{u}$ be the solution of $\overline{\partial}\tilde{u} = u$ given by theorem 4.4. The integrability condition

$$\|\tilde{u}\|^2_{V\setminus a(X)} = \int_{V\setminus a(X)} \langle \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}\rangle_{h_3} e^{-r_0\Phi_2} dV_\omega < \infty \tag{4}$$

implies that on a neighbourhood of $z_0 \in a(U \setminus S)$, where E is trivial, the forms dV_ω and dV_{ω_Z} are equivalent and h_3 is equivalent to h_E, the section $\tilde{u}$ is in L^2_{loc}, because Φ_2 has zeroes on $a(U)$. Therefore the components $\tilde{u}_i$ are in L^2_{loc} and because u is smooth the solution $\overline{\partial}\tilde{u} = u$ holds in the distribution sense on V, so the section $\tilde{v} := v - \tilde{u}$ is holomorphic in the distribution sense and by ellipticity it is smooth (compare [1], section VIII-7). Therefore $\tilde{u}$ is also smooth. Because $r_0 = \operatorname{codim}_Z a(X)$, the weight $e^{-r_0\Phi_2}$ is not locally integrable and

since the integral (4) exists the section $\tilde{u}$ must be zero on $a(U \setminus S)$. Because the weight $e^{-r_0\Phi_2}$ has poles on $Z_S \cup a(X)$ and is bounded from below on V we also have

$$\int_V \langle \tilde{u}, \tilde{u} \rangle_{h_3} dV_\omega < \infty.$$

The polynomial behaviour at the boundary now follows from the estimates in the proof of theorem 4.4 for $M = 0$. □

Remark 4.7. *If we replaced r_0 by $\tilde{r}_0 > r_0$ then $\tilde{u}$ would have to have zeroes of higher order on $a(U \setminus S)$ to insure the integrability of (4). Similar ideas work for jet interpolation at one point (not in $a(S)$), since we have a local holomorphic extension. The weight is defined as $M \log(\|z\|^2 + \|w\|^2)$ on a neighbourhood of the given point and continued as a constant outside. The negativity of the curvature created by such weight can be compensated by $e^{-c\Phi}$ since we are away from $a(S)$.*

4.3. **$\overline{\partial}$-equation in bidegree $(0, q)$.**. In this section we prove a theorem analogous to theorem 4.4 for $(0, q)$-forms. In this case the positivity of the curvature tensor is no longer ensured by the positivity of the bundle curvature. Therefore a $(0, q)$-form is viewed as a (n, q)-form with values in a different vector bundle.

Let the notation be as usual. Let $u \in \Lambda^{0,q} T^*Z \otimes E|_{V'}$ where $V' \subset Z$ possesses a complete Kähler metric. Let h_ω be the metric on TZ induced by the Kähler metric ω. The canonical pairing locally gives a decomposition $1 = v \otimes v^*$, where $v \in \Lambda^{n,0} T^*Z$ and $v^* \in \Lambda^{n,0} TZ$. Thus u can be viewed as a (n, q)-form $\tilde{u}$ with values in $\tilde{E} = \Lambda^{n,0} TZ \otimes E$. This adds an additional term to the curvature tensor, namely the curvature of the determinant bundle $\det TZ = \Lambda^{n,0} TZ$ with respect to h_ω. The curvature is the Ricci curvature and so the curvature tensor equals

$$i\Theta(\tilde{E}) = i \operatorname{Id}_{\det TZ} \otimes \Theta(E) + \operatorname{Ricci}(\omega) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{E}}.$$

Assume that E is trivial with local frame $e_1, \ldots, e_r$. In local coordinates ζ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u = u^\zeta &= \sum u^\zeta_{Q,\lambda} d\overline{\zeta}_Q \otimes e_\lambda, \\ \tilde{u} = \tilde{u}^\zeta &= \sum u^\zeta_{Q,\lambda} d\overline{\zeta}_Q \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\zeta_n \otimes (\partial/\partial\zeta_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (\partial/\partial\zeta_n) \otimes e_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for multiindices $|Q| = q$. Therefore $\tilde{u}$ is a form with values in $\tilde{E}$. If H_ω is a matrix representing h_ω and h^*_ω is the induced metric on the dual

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{u}|^2(\zeta) &= \sum u^\zeta_{Q,\lambda}(\zeta) \overline{u^\zeta}_{Q',\lambda'}(\zeta) \langle d\overline{\zeta}_Q, d\overline{\zeta}_{Q'} \rangle_{h_\omega} \cdot \|d\zeta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\zeta_n\|^2_{h^*_\omega} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \|(\partial/\partial\zeta_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (\partial/\partial\zeta_n)\|^2_{h_\omega} \langle e_\lambda, e_{\lambda'} \rangle_h. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\|d\zeta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\zeta_n\|^2_{h^*_\omega} = \det(H_\omega^{-T})$ and $\|(\partial/\partial\zeta_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (\partial/\partial\zeta_n)\|^2_{h_\omega} = \det H_\omega$ the norm is equal to the norm of u.

We would like to find a weight which removes the Ricci curvature. By proposition 2.1 with $E = \det TZ$ there exist finitely many almost holomorphic sections v_i, holomorphic to order l_3 in w with zeroes of order k_3 on Z_S generating the $\det TZ$ away from Z_S. The metric on the determinant bundle $h_{\det TZ}$ induced by ω defines the squares of the norms

$$f_i(z, w) = \langle v_i(z, w), v_i(z, w) \rangle_{h_{\det TZ}}.$$

The function

$$\varphi_2(z, w) = \sum \langle v_i(z, w), v_i(z, w) \rangle_{h_{\det TZ}}$$

is defined on a neighbourhood V_T of $a(\overline{U})$ and has locally polynomial zeroes over Z_S - the metric itself has polynomial zeroes and the vector fields have polynomial zeroes.

Let v be a nonzero holomorphic section of the determinant bundle defined on a neighbourhood of a point $(z,0) \in a(S)$. Then the metric $h_{\det TZ}$ can be represented as multiplication by the function $f(z,w) = \langle v(z,w), v(z,w)\rangle_{h_{\det TZ}}$ and the Ricci curvature equals $-i\partial\overline{\partial}\log f \operatorname{Id}_{\tilde{E}}$.

By construction we have $v_i = \alpha_i v$ for some functions α_i, holomorphic in the fibre direction, holomorphic to the degree l_3 with zeroes of order k_3 on the fibres over $a(S)$. This implies that

$$\varphi_2 = \sum \langle v_i, v_i\rangle_{h_{\det TZ}} = \sum \alpha_i\overline{\alpha_i}\langle v, v\rangle_{h_{\det TZ}} = (\sum |\alpha_i|^2)f = \|\alpha\|^2 f,$$

where α is a vector with components α_i. The function $\|\alpha\|^2$ has zeroes only on Z_S so we have the estimate $\|\alpha\|^2 \geq c\|z\|^{2k_3}$. Let's multiply the metric h by the weight

$$e^{-\log\varphi_2}.$$

The weight adds the term $(i\partial\overline{\partial}\log f + i\partial\overline{\partial}\log\|\alpha\|^2)\operatorname{Id}_{\tilde{E}}$ to the curvature thus killing the Ricci curvature and adding a term which has bounded negative part in a conic neighbourhood (calculation is the same as in the section 3). As before we can compensate the negativity of the curvature by multiplying the metric by the weight $e^{-c\Phi}$ and at the same time achieve that the lowest eigenvalue decreases at most polynomially. Denote the new metric by h_5,

$$h_5 = he^{-(c\Phi+\log\varphi_2)}.$$

As a result for some large constant c the curvature tensor with respect to h_5

$$i\Theta(\tilde{E}) = i\operatorname{Id}_{\det TZ}\otimes\Theta(E) + \operatorname{Ricci}(\omega)\otimes\operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{E}} + \mathrm{i}\partial\overline{\partial}(\log\varphi_2 + \mathrm{c}\Phi)\otimes\operatorname{Id}_{\tilde{\mathrm{E}}}$$

is positive and this enables us to solve the $\overline{\partial}$-equation with at most polynomial growth at the boundary and with zeroes on $a(N(g))$. If we view $(0,q)$-form u as a (n,q)-form we obtain as a corollary to theorem 4.4 the following

Theorem 4.8. *Let u be a closed smooth $(0,q)$-form on V with*

$$\int_V \langle A^{-1}_{\tilde{E},\omega}u, u\rangle_{h_5} e^{-M\log|g|}dV_\omega$$

for some $M \geq 0$. Then there exist a smooth $(0,q-1)$-form v solving $\overline{\partial}v = u$ with

$$\|v\|^2 = \int_V \langle v, v\rangle_{h_5} e^{-M\log|g|}dV_\omega \leq \int_V \langle A^{-1}_{\tilde{E},\omega}u, u\rangle_{h_5} e^{-M\log|g|}dV_\omega.$$

Remark 4.9. *Note that the sign of the Ricci curvature does not play any role since we are removing the Ricci curvature by the weight in contrast with the previous theorem where we needed the positivity of the induced curvature on the determinant bundle in order to compensate for the possible negativity of the Hermitian metric.*

5. Vertical sprays on conic neighbourhoods

This section is devoted to the proof of theorem 1.2. Consider the set U. We are looking for sections which are defined on a conic neighbourhood of a given compact set $a(\overline{U})$ and such that they generate the vertical tangent bundle $VT(Z)$ on an open neighbourhood of $a(K)$. To avoid too many notations we use the letter U for such a neighbourhood and will shrink U if necessary. Let $\mathcal{VT}(Z)$ denote the sheaf of sections of $VT(Z)$. Let v_i be almost holomorphic sections of $VT(Z)$, holomorphic to the degree l_4 in w and with zeroes of order

k_4 given by proposition 2.1. Let $u_i = \overline{\partial} v_i$ and view it as a $(n,1)$-form as in the previous section. Define the metric

$$h_6 = h_5 e^{-r_1 \Phi_2}.$$

We have to show that over a suitable conic neighbourhood V_1 the integral

$$I = \int_{V_1 \setminus a(X)} \langle A^{-1}_{\tilde{E},\omega} u_i, u_i \rangle_{h_5} e^{-r_1 \Phi_2} dV_\omega$$

is convergent for $r_1 \geq r_0$; recall that r_0 is the fibre dimension. The integrability is problematic only on neighbourhoods of points in $a(U)$. Let us first consider points in $a(S)$. The terms in the integrand are of the following form: the form u_i is of the type $\|w\|^{l_4+1}\|z_2\|^{k_4}$ and $A^{-1}_{\tilde{E},\omega}$ and h_5 have in the worst case polynomial poles in $\|z_2\|$. Let the scalar product $\langle A^{-1}_{\tilde{E},\omega} u_i, u_i \rangle_{h_5}$ be of the form $\|w\|^{2l_4+2}\|z_2\|^{2k_4-n_1}$. The weight $e^{-r_1 \log \Phi_2 - \log \varphi_2}$ has the type $\|w\|^{-2r_1}\|z_2\|^{-2k_1 r_1 - 2k_3}$ and dV_ω is of the type $\|z_2\|^{2k_5} dV_{h_Z}$. After introducing the polar coordinates in w and z_2 direction (the direction z_1 is not problematic) on a neighbourhood V_{z_0} of the point $z_0 \in a(S)$ the integral $I_1 = \int_{V_0 \cap (V_1 \setminus a(X))} \langle A^{-1}_{\tilde{E},\omega} u_i, u_i \rangle_{h_5} e^{-r_1 \Phi_2} dV_\omega$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \leq \quad & \text{const} \int_0^\delta \|z_2\|^{-n_1 + 2k_4 - 2r_1 k_1 - 2k_3 + 2k_5 + (2(\operatorname{codim}_X S) - 1)} \cdot \\ & \cdot \int_0^{\|z_2\|^{k_6}} \|w\|^{2l_4 + 2 - 2(r_1 - r_0) - 1} d\|w\| \, d\|z_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|w\| \leq \|z_2\|^{k_6}$ describes the type of the cone near $a(S)$.

Put $r_1 = r_0$. Then, if either k_4 is large, meaning that the initial vector fields have zeroes of high order on $a(S)$, or the cone is sharp enough, for example $k_6 > n_1$, or the vector fields are holomorphic to a very high order (l_4 large) the integral converges. Near points from $a(U \setminus S)$ we only have the inner integral with $\|z_2\|^{k_6}$ replaced by some fixed δ and it converges for $l_4 \geq 0$. Even if we start with any vector field with zeroes of high order on $a(S)$ and construct an extension v by remark 2.5 the integral converges. In this case we have $l_4 = 0$.

If $r_1 > r_0$, then again near $a(U \setminus S)$ the integral converges if $l_4 > r_1 - r_0$. Note that $r_1 - r_0$ is approximately the order of the jet interpolation and if the result is supposed to give a holomorphic section then the initial section must already be holomorphic to a high degree.

Thus there exist a neighbourhood V_1 of $a(U \setminus S)$, conic along $a(N(g)$ and such that $I < \infty$. Then theorem 4.8 for $q = 1, M = 0$ with h_6 instead of h_5 and $V_1 \setminus a(X)$ instead of V yields the vector fields $\tilde{v}_i$ with values in $VT(Z)$ of polynomial growth at the boundary. As in the proof of corollary 4.6 we show that the sections $\tilde{v}_i$ are zero on $a(U \setminus S)$. Moreover, we have

$$\int_{V_1} \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{v} \rangle_{h_5} dV_\omega < \infty.$$

The holomorphic vector fields $v_i - \tilde{v}_i$ still generate the $VT(Z)$ on a neighbourhood of $a(U \setminus S)$. In particular, they generate the bundle on a neighbourhood of $a(K)$ in Z.

We have to show that the vector fields can be corrected to vector fields with zeroes on $a(N(g))$. If we take a slightly thinner and sharper cone along $a(N(g))$ and shrink U a little they will be bounded when away from $a(N(g))$. Denote this conic neighbourhood by V_1'. As in the proof of 4.4 we see that if we approach $a(N(g)$ within V_1' the vector fields $\tilde{v}_i$ have at most polynomial poles on $a(N(g))$.

But then the vector fields $g^k(v_i - \tilde{v}_i)$ for sufficiently large k still generate the bundle wherever $v_i - \tilde{v}_i$ do and approach 0 as $|g| \to 0$ as fast as we want. In particular they are (at least) continuous on the closure of V_1' and can be extended to global continuous vector fields with zeroes on $Z_{N(g)}$. Let V be a smaller conic Stein neighbourhood inside V_1'. The flows $\varphi_{i,t_i}(z)$ of the fields of v_i remain in V for z in a thinner and sharper conic neighbourhood V' (see figure 1) for sufficiently small times and so generate a continuous vertical spray $s := \varphi_{1,\cdot} \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_{m,\cdot} : V_Z \times B^m(0,\varepsilon) \to Z$, $s(z,(t_1,\ldots,t_m)) := \varphi_{1,t_1} \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_{m,t_m}(z)$ on sufficiently small neighbourhood V_Z of $a(U)$ in Z, such that $s(z,t) \in V$ for $z \in V'$. The restriction of s to $a(U) \times B^m(0,\varepsilon)$ is smooth and holomorphic on $a(U \setminus N(g)) \times B^m(0,\varepsilon)$ and is therefore holomorphic on $a(U) \times B^m(0,\varepsilon)$ since $N(g)$ is analytic. This completes the proof of the main theorem in [3] in the case of manifolds.

References

[1] Demailly, J.- P., Complex analytic and algebraic geometry, version June 21, 2012.

[2] F. Forstnerič; E. Løw: Holomorphic equivalence of smooth submanifolds in Cn. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 (1997) 133-153.

[3] Prezelj, J., A relative Oka-Grauert principle for holomorphic submersions over 1-convex spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 4213-4228.

Jasna Prezelj, Faculty of Mathematics, Natural Sciences and Information Technologies, University of Primorska, Glagoljaška 8, SI-6000 Koper, Slovenia and

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia and

Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

E-mail address: jasna.prezelj@fmf.uni-lj.si